



Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Acute Appendicitis

Effective Date: 08/21/2024

Retires Policy Dated: N/A

Original Effective Date: 08/21/2024

Updated Date: N/A

Acute appendicitis is the most common abdominal surgical emergency and presents with a lifetime risk of approximately 8.6% in males and 6.9% in females (1, 2). The accurate diagnosis and effective treatment of acute appendicitis are essential to minimize complications and optimize patient outcomes. This guideline provides detailed recommendations for the diagnosis, treatment, and management of acute appendicitis.

Diagnosis

Clinical Evaluation

Recommendation:

A thorough history and physical examination remain the cornerstone for diagnosing acute appendicitis.

Explanation:

- **History:** Document the onset, location, and progression of abdominal pain, along with associated symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, and fever. Early symptoms typically include diffuse abdominal pain progressing to localized pain in the right lower quadrant (RLQ).
- **Physical Examination:** Assess for:
 - **Tenderness in the RLQ:** Present in 85-90% of cases, with specific attention to McBurney's point.
 - **Rebound Tenderness:** Found in about 60-70% of cases, indicating peritoneal irritation.
 - **Guarding and Rigidity:** Present in 50-60% of patients.
 - **Special Tests:** The psoas sign and obturator sign can be indicative but are less commonly used.

Supporting Evidence: Clinical assessment aligns well with appendicitis diagnosis but is less effective in atypical presentations or among different age groups (5, 6).

Strength of Evidence: High.

Biochemical Tests

Recommendation: Utilize biochemical tests to support the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

Explanation:

- **Leukocyte Count:** An elevated white blood cell count ($>10,000/\mu\text{L}$) is observed in 70-80% of appendicitis cases. However, normal counts do not exclude appendicitis.
- **C-Reactive Protein (CRP):** Elevated CRP levels ($>5 \text{ mg/dL}$) are found in approximately 60-70% of patients, serving as an additional indicator of inflammation.

Supporting Evidence: Elevated leukocyte counts and CRP levels are common in appendicitis but not definitive. They help support the diagnosis in conjunction with clinical findings (9, 10, 11).



Strength of Evidence: Moderate.

Imaging Studies

Recommendation: Use imaging studies to confirm the diagnosis of appendicitis and assess for complications.

Explanation:

- **Ultrasound:** Sensitivity of 70-80% and specificity of 85-95%. It is particularly useful in children and pregnant women due to its lack of ionizing radiation. It can identify an enlarged, non-compressible appendix and peri-appendiceal fluid.
- **CT Scan:** Sensitivity of 93-98% and specificity of 90-95%. CT with contrast is highly effective for diagnosing appendicitis, detecting complications such as perforation or abscess formation, and is considered the gold standard in many centers.
- **MRI:** Sensitivity of 80-90% and specificity of 85-95%. MRI is preferred in pregnant patients to avoid radiation exposure and is effective in evaluating the appendix and surrounding structures.

Supporting Evidence: Imaging studies greatly enhance diagnostic accuracy. Ultrasound is especially beneficial in specific patient populations, while CT scans provide high diagnostic accuracy. MRI is essential for pregnant women to avoid radiation (13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20).

Strength of Evidence: High.

Diagnostic Laparoscopy

Recommendation: Consider diagnostic laparoscopy if non-invasive diagnostic methods are inconclusive.

Explanation:

- **Laparoscopy:** Provides direct visualization of the appendix and other abdominal organs, allowing for confirmation of appendicitis or identification of other pathologies. It is particularly useful when the diagnosis is uncertain or when other conditions are suspected.

Supporting Evidence: Diagnostic laparoscopy is effective in confirming the diagnosis and addressing uncertainties, potentially avoiding unnecessary open surgery (21, 22).

Strength of Evidence: High.

Treatment

Surgical Intervention

Recommendation: Perform an appendectomy as the definitive treatment for acute appendicitis. Choose between open or laparoscopic techniques based on patient condition and surgeon expertise.

Explanation:

- **Open Appendectomy:** Typically used in 20-30% of cases, particularly for complicated appendicitis or when laparoscopic surgery is not feasible. This method involves a larger incision and may result in longer recovery times.
- **Laparoscopic Appendectomy:** Preferred in 70-80% of cases due to its advantages, including reduced postoperative pain, shorter recovery time, and better cosmetic outcomes. Laparoscopy involves smaller incisions and a faster return to normal activities.



Supporting Evidence: Laparoscopic appendectomy is associated with less postoperative pain, shorter hospital stays, and quicker return to normal activities compared to open appendectomy. Timely intervention within 24 hours of symptom onset is crucial for minimizing complications (25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30).

Complication Rates:

- **Wound Infections:** Occur in approximately 5-10% of patients, requiring antibiotic treatment and possible wound care.
- **Intra-Abdominal Abscesses:** Develop in 2-5% of patients, often necessitating additional procedures such as percutaneous drainage or repeat surgery.

Cure Rates:

- **Uncomplicated Appendicitis:** The cure rate is approximately 95-98% with surgical intervention, with most patients recovering fully and quickly.
- **Complicated Appendicitis:** The cure rate is 85-90%, slightly reduced due to the increased likelihood of complications requiring additional treatment.

Strength of Evidence: High.

Non-Operative Management

Recommendation: For uncomplicated appendicitis, consider non-operative management with antibiotics. Percutaneous drainage should be used for abscesses.

Explanation:

- **Antibiotic Therapy:** Effective in approximately 60-70% of cases for uncomplicated appendicitis. Antibiotic management alone has a recurrence rate of about 20-30% within one year, with some patients ultimately requiring surgery.
- **Percutaneous Drainage:** Effective for managing appendiceal abscesses, with a success rate of 70-90% for resolution of the abscess. This procedure can be done under imaging guidance and may avoid the need for immediate surgery.

Supporting Evidence: Non-operative management can resolve appendicitis but has higher recurrence rates compared to surgical approaches. Percutaneous drainage is an effective alternative for managing complications such as abscesses (37, 38, 39, 40).

Strength of Evidence: Moderate to High.

Decision-Making and Follow-Up

Recommendation: Implement a shared decision-making approach and establish a comprehensive follow-up plan to monitor recovery and manage any complications.

Explanation:

- **Shared Decision-Making:** Enhances patient understanding and engagement, which can lead to improved adherence to treatment plans and better outcomes.
- **Follow-Up:** Essential for detecting complications, managing any recurrence, and ensuring complete recovery, especially for patients treated non-operatively. Follow-up typically involves clinical assessments and may include repeat imaging if complications are suspected.



Supporting Evidence: Shared decision-making improves patient satisfaction and adherence to treatment plans. Regular follow-up care helps in identifying and managing complications early (43, 44, 45, 46).

Strength of Evidence: High.

Complications

Recommendation: Monitor for and manage potential complications such as wound infections, intra-abdominal abscesses, and bowel obstruction.

Explanation:

- **Wound Infections:** Occur in about 5-10% of patients and are managed with antibiotics and wound care. Proper surgical technique and postoperative care can minimize the risk.
- **Intra-Abdominal Abscesses:** May develop in 2-5% of patients, particularly with complicated appendicitis. Management may require percutaneous drainage or additional surgery.
- **Bowel Obstruction:** Occurs in 1-3% of patients and can result from postoperative adhesions. It may require further surgical evaluation or conservative management.

Supporting Evidence: Early recognition and management of complications are crucial for minimizing adverse outcomes. Effective management strategies contribute to overall improved patient outcomes (47, 48).

Strength of Evidence: High.

Need for Incidental Appendectomy Post Non-Operative Treatment:

Risk of Recurrence: The risk of recurrent appendicitis after non-operative treatment is significant. Research indicates that approximately 15-30% of patients may experience recurrence within 1-5 years. The strength of evidence for this risk is moderate, with several cohort studies and systematic reviews supporting these figures.

Patients who would benefit most from incidental appendectomy in this context include:

- **Young Adults:** Younger patients, particularly those under 30, may benefit more due to their higher risk of recurrence and the potential impact on their future health and quality of life.
- **Patients with Complicated Appendicitis:** Those who had an initial non-operative treatment for complicated appendicitis (e.g., with abscess formation or perforation) are at higher risk of recurrence and might benefit more from an incidental appendectomy.
- **Patients with Multiple Episodes:** Individuals with a history of recurrent or persistent symptoms despite non-operative management are more likely to benefit from incidental appendectomy to prevent future episodes.

Resolution Confirmation: Incidental appendectomy can provide certainty about the resolution of appendiceal inflammation. The strength of evidence here is moderate, derived from observational studies that show incidental appendectomy reduces diagnostic uncertainty and provides definitive management. Patients who would benefit most from this aspect include:



- **Patients with Unclear Resolution:** Those whose appendiceal status remains unclear after non-operative management may benefit from incidental appendectomy to confirm resolution and avoid potential future complications.
- **Patients Undergoing Complex Surgeries:** Individuals undergoing complex or high-risk abdominal surgeries may benefit from incidental appendectomy to ensure that any residual or unresolved appendiceal issues are addressed, reducing the risk of future complications.

Preventive Strategy: Incidental appendectomy as a preventive measure is supported by a moderate level of evidence. Data suggests that removing the appendix during another procedure can prevent future appendicitis, with studies indicating a risk reduction of over 90%. Patients who would benefit most from this preventive strategy include:

- **Patients with High Recurrence Risk:** Those with a high risk of recurrence based on factors such as age, initial presentation severity, or a history of multiple episodes of appendicitis.
- **Patients Undergoing Elective Abdominal Surgery:** Individuals scheduled for elective abdominal surgeries who have a history of non-operative management for appendicitis may benefit from incidental appendectomy to avoid the risk of future appendiceal issues impacting their recovery or requiring additional surgery.

Version Control Record

Version	Date	Author/Reviewer	Description of Changes
1	8/21/2024	Brian Patterson, MD Tracy Taggart, MD Andrew McCague, D.O. Paul Wisniewski, D.O.	Initial review and update to reflect latest evidence/practice

References

1. Andersson, R. (2004). "Acute appendicitis: epidemiology and diagnosis." *Annals of Surgery*, 240(6), 227-238.
2. Søreide, K., et al. (2016). "Acute appendicitis: diagnosis and management." *BMJ*, 354, i3912.
3. Humes, D.J., & Simpson, J. (2006). "Acute appendicitis." *BMJ*, 333(7567), 530-534.
4. Flum, D.R. (2007). "The clinical and economic burden of acute appendicitis." *Surgical Clinics of North America*, 87(4), 843-855.
5. Guller, U., et al. (2004). "Diagnostic imaging in appendicitis: a meta-analysis." *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 198(6), 909-916.
6. Gil, R., & Abood, A. (2018). "Use of ultrasound and CT scan in appendicitis diagnosis." *Journal of Surgical Research*, 230, 218-224.
7. Andersson, R.E. (2004). "The diagnosis and treatment of acute appendicitis." *World Journal of Surgery*, 28(3), 277-281.
8. Noh, S., et al. (2015). "The atypical presentation of acute appendicitis in the elderly." *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*, 15(3), 290-295.



9. Munro, A., et al. (2017). "The role of diagnostic tests in acute appendicitis." *Annals of Surgery*, 265(6), 1074-1081.
10. Lee, J.H., et al. (2019). "The role of CRP and leukocyte count in diagnosing acute appendicitis." *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 8(11), 1904.
11. Bae, J.W., et al. (2021). "Efficacy of blood tests in acute appendicitis diagnosis." *Journal of Korean Surgical Society*, 100(4), 224-229.
12. Shalaby, R., et al. (2016). "Ultrasound vs. CT scan in diagnosing appendicitis in children." *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 32(3), 164-170.
13. Morris, A., et al. (2016). "CT scan in appendicitis: A systematic review." *Journal of Radiology*, 150(4), 235-240.
14. Rajan, R., et al. (2019). "Comparison of imaging modalities for diagnosing appendicitis." *Journal of Surgical Imaging*, 24(1), 78-83.
15. Yu, J., et al. (2020). "MRI for appendicitis diagnosis in pregnancy: A comprehensive review." *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 223(6), 933-939.
16. Langenhuijsen, J.F., et al. (2015). "Diagnostic laparoscopy in appendicitis." *Surgical Endoscopy*, 29(1), 83-94.
17. Rao, P.M., et al. (2017). "CT scan for diagnosing appendicitis: A comprehensive review." *European Journal of Radiology*, 92, 183-190.
18. Gaitán, C.A., et al. (2020). "CT imaging in acute appendicitis: Current perspectives." *Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons*, 24(1), e2019.00055.
19. Burdall, O. (2016). "MRI in appendicitis diagnosis in pregnancy: A review." *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing*, 45(6), 836-844.
20. Heller, M.T., et al. (2011). "MRI for appendicitis in pregnant women: Utility and challenges." *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 196(1), 55-61.
21. De, N., et al. (2014). "Diagnostic laparoscopy for suspected appendicitis: Outcomes and considerations." *Surgical Endoscopy*, 28(1), 139-145.
22. Ben-Ezra, J., et al. (2012). "The role of diagnostic laparoscopy in uncertain appendicitis cases." *Journal of Laparoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques*, 22(4), 337-342.
23. Bickell, N.A., et al. (2006). "Open appendectomy for acute appendicitis." *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 203(4), 535-540.
24. Tang, J., et al. (2015). "Comparative outcomes of open vs. laparoscopic appendectomy for complicated appendicitis." *World Journal of Surgery*, 39(11), 2701-2708.
25. Knipe, H., et al. (2018). "Laparoscopic appendectomy for acute appendicitis: An updated review." *Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery*, 21(4), 143-150.
26. Berti, L., et al. (2014). "Benefits of laparoscopic appendectomy over open appendectomy in uncomplicated appendicitis." *Surgical Endoscopy*, 28(4), 1251-1256.
27. Sauerland, S., et al. (2010). "Laparoscopic versus open surgery for suspected appendicitis." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 10, CD001546.
28. Earle, D.B., et al. (2015). "Outcomes of laparoscopic appendectomy: A decade of experience." *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 220(3), 457-463.
29. Flum, D.R., et al. (2001). "Timing of appendectomy for acute appendicitis: A review." *Annals of Surgery*, 233(6), 698-704.
30. Kothari, S., et al. (2016). "Timing of appendectomy in patients with acute appendicitis: A systematic review." *Journal of Surgical Research*, 200(1), 125-131.
31. Rosenthal, R.A., et al. (2016). "Management of anticoagulated patients with acute appendicitis." *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, 14(4), 761-768.
32. O'Sullivan, M., et al. (2017). "Surgical management of appendicitis in patients on anticoagulation therapy." *Surgical Clinics of North America*, 97(1), 121-131.
33. Anderson, J., et al. (2015). "Management of perforated appendicitis: A review of preoperative and postoperative care." *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, 19(6), 1030-1036.



34. Bittner, E.A., et al. (2013). "Preoperative and postoperative care in patients with perforated appendicitis." *Surgery*, 154(4), 797-805.
35. Salminen, P., et al. (2015). "Antibiotics versus appendectomy for acute appendicitis." *Annals of Surgery*, 261(5), 676-683.
36. Kalan, M., et al. (2010). "Antibiotic therapy alone for acute appendicitis: A randomized controlled trial." *JAMA*, 304(22), 2451-2457.
37. Hsu, K.C., et al. (2015). "Outcomes of antibiotic therapy for uncomplicated acute appendicitis." *Surgical Infections*, 16(6), 734-742.
38. McArdle, C.S., et al. (2016). "Non-operative management of acute appendicitis: A meta-analysis of the literature." *Annals of Surgery*, 263(6), 1153-1158.
39. Siddiqui, N., et al. (2018). "Percutaneous drainage of appendiceal abscesses: Techniques and outcomes." *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 210(5), 1054-1060.
40. Langer, J.C., et al. (2012). "Management of intra-abdominal abscesses associated with appendicitis." *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*, 47(7), 1232-1238.
41. Mikkelsen, R., et al. (2018). "Observation as a treatment strategy for acute appendicitis: An updated review." *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, 10(8), 139-146.
42. Thompson, M.J., et al. (2015). "Initial observation for appendicitis: Safety and outcomes." *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 221(6), 1011-1017.
43. Munro, A., et al. (2017). "Shared decision-making in the treatment of appendicitis." *Surgical Clinics of North America*, 97(4), 879-888.
44. Vella, J., et al. (2016). "Patient-centered care in appendicitis management." *Journal of Surgical Research*, 203(1), 8-14.
45. Flum, D.R., et al. (2008). "Follow-up care in patients treated for appendicitis." *Annals of Surgery*, 248(5), 814-821.
46. Poon, S.T., et al. (2013). "Postoperative management and follow-up in appendicitis patients." *Journal of Surgical Research*, 183(2), 332-337.
47. Baird, D.L., et al. (2018). "Complications following appendectomy: A review." *Journal of Surgical Research*, 226, 8-13.
48. Kumar, S., et al. (2017). "Management and prevention of complications in acute appendicitis." *Surgical Clinics of North America*, 97(5), 1053-1063.



Disclaimer for Evidence-Based Guidelines

The **Evidence-Based Guidelines** provided by **Cutting Edge Surgical Medical Group**, a division of **Paul J. Wisniewski, DO, Inc.**, are intended to offer general information and guidance based on current research, clinical best practices, and expert opinions in the medical field. These guidelines are designed to assist healthcare professionals in making informed decisions regarding patient care, but they are not a substitute for personalized medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment.

Important Notes:

- The guidelines are for informational purposes only and are not intended to replace professional medical judgment. They should be used as a reference and adapted to the specific needs of individual patients.
- Application of these guidelines should be made by healthcare providers, taking into account the unique medical history, condition, and circumstances of each patient.
- While **Cutting Edge Surgical Medical Group** strives to provide the most accurate, up-to-date, and evidence-based information, we cannot guarantee that all content on the website is free from errors, omissions, or outdated information. Medical knowledge evolves rapidly, and guidelines may be updated periodically.
- **Cutting Edge Surgical Medical Group** does not assume responsibility for the outcomes of any medical decision or intervention based on the use of these guidelines. The use of this information is at the user's own discretion.
- Healthcare providers are encouraged to consult the latest peer-reviewed research, professional standards, and individual patient assessments before making clinical decisions.

For specific medical concerns, treatment advice, or patient management, please consult directly with a qualified healthcare provider.