Management of Retroperitoneal Hematoma

Effective Date: 10/19/2024

Retires Policy Dated: N/A

Original Effective Date: 10/09/2024

Updated Date: N/A

Background

Retroperitoneal hematoma (RPH) is a potentially life-threatening condition characterized by bleeding into the retroperitoneal space. It can result from trauma, surgery, anticoagulation therapy, or spontaneous rupture of aneurysms. The management of RPH depends on the underlying cause, the patient's hemodynamic status, and the location and size of the hematoma. The management typically involves initial stabilization, imaging to determine the extent and source of bleeding, and therapeutic interventions which may include interventional radiology (IR) or surgery. Below is a detailed discussion of the management of RPH, including the roles of IR and surgery.

Initial Assessment and Stabilization

Clinical Evaluation:

- History and Physical Examination: Assess for signs of trauma, recent surgical procedures, anticoagulation therapy, or symptoms suggesting aortic aneurysm rupture.
- Vital Signs Monitoring: Continuous monitoring of heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation.

Resuscitation:

- Intravenous Access: Establish large-bore IV access for fluid resuscitation and potential blood transfusions.
- Fluid Resuscitation: Administer crystalloids to stabilize the patient.
- Blood Products: Initiate transfusion of packed red blood cells (PRBCs) if there is significant blood loss and consider activation of a massive transfusion protocol (MTP) if required.

Laboratory Tests:

- Complete Blood Count (CBC): To assess hemoglobin and hematocrit levels.
- Coagulation Profile: Including PT/INR, aPTT, and fibrinogen levels.
- Type and Crossmatch: Preparation for potential blood transfusions.
- Renal Function Tests and Electrolytes: To monitor for renal impairment and electrolyte imbalances.

Imaging and Diagnosis

Initial Imaging:

- Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST): To rapidly assess for intraperitoneal bleeding, though it is less useful for retroperitoneal bleeding.
- Computed Tomography (CT) Scan: The gold standard for diagnosing RPH. CT angiography can help identify the source of bleeding and the extent of the hematoma.

Additional Imaging:

- Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI): May be used in specific cases to provide detailed imaging of soft tissue structures.

Role of Interventional Radiology (IR)

Interventional radiology plays a crucial role in the management of RPH, especially in hemodynamically stable patients or when the source of bleeding is identifiable and amenable to endovascular treatment.

Angiography and Embolization

- Diagnostic Angiography: To identify the precise source of bleeding, particularly arterial bleeding.
- Therapeutic Embolization: Embolization can be performed to control arterial bleeding. This involves the selective catheterization of the bleeding vessel and embolization using coils, particles, or other embolic agents.

Advantages of IR

- Minimally Invasive: Reduced morbidity compared to open surgery.
- Targeted Therapy**:** Directly addresses the source of bleeding with minimal impact on surrounding tissues.
- Shorter Recovery Time**:** Faster recovery and shorter hospital stay compared to surgical interventions.

Role of Surgery

Surgery is generally reserved for hemodynamically unstable patients, those with ongoing bleeding despite IR interventions, or when there are associated injuries that require surgical repair.

Indications for Surgery:

- Hemodynamic Instability: Persistent instability despite aggressive resuscitation.
- Failure of IR: Ongoing or recurrent bleeding despite embolization.
- Complex Injury: Associated injuries to other abdominal or retroperitoneal structures that require surgical repair.
- Suspected Compartment Syndrome: Due to a large retroperitoneal hematoma causing pressure on surrounding structures.

Surgical Procedures:

- Exploratory Laparotomy: To identify and control the source of bleeding. This may involve direct vessel ligation, repair of injured organs, or evacuation of the hematoma.
- Aortic Surgery: For hematomas related to ruptured aortic aneurysms or dissections, vascular surgery may be necessary to repair the aorta.

Advantages of Surgery:

- Definitive Control: Provides direct access to the bleeding site for definitive control.
- Comprehensive Treatment: Allows for the assessment and treatment of associated intra-abdominal injuries.

Management Sequence

Initial Resuscitation and Stabilization:

- Fluid resuscitation and blood transfusion as needed.
- Continuous monitoring of vital signs and laboratory parameters.

Imaging:

- CT scan to diagnose the extent and source of the hematoma.

Interventional Radiology:

- Perform angiography and embolization if the patient is stable and the bleeding source is identifiable and accessible.

Surgical Consultation:

- Immediate surgical intervention if the patient is hemodynamically unstable or if IR interventions fail.

Conclusion

The management of retroperitoneal hematoma involves a multidisciplinary approach, including resuscitation, imaging, and therapeutic interventions by interventional radiology or surgery. The choice between IR and surgery depends on the patient's hemodynamic status, the source and extent of bleeding, and the response to initial treatments. A prompt and coordinated approach is essential to optimize patient outcomes.

References

1. Dulabon, G. R., Bowen, D. K., Kunkel, M. J., et al. (2011). Blunt abdominal trauma and the role of interventional radiology. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery, 71(6), 1521-1531.

2. Tobler, W. D., & Reed, R. L. (2013). Modern management of retroperitoneal trauma. Surgical Clinics of North America, 93(4), 783-793.

3. Ekeh, A. P., Walusimbi, M., Branden, L. W., et al. (2009). The role of focused abdominal sonography for trauma (FAST) in blunt abdominal trauma: A prospective analysis. American Journal of Surgery, 197(3), 344-349.

4. Brandt, M. L., & de Gara, C. J. (2014). Angiographic embolization for the control of hemorrhage in trauma patients. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 57(1), E13-E16.

Version Control Record			
Version	**Date**	**Author / Reviewer**	**Description of Changes**
1.0	08/21/2024	Paul Wisniewski, D.O.	Initial review and update to reflect the latest evidence/practice

Disclaimer for Evidence-Based Guidelines

The **Evidence-Based Guidelines** provided by **Cutting Edge Surgical Medical Group**, a division of **Paul J. Wisniewski, DO, Inc.**, are intended to offer general information and guidance based on current research, clinical best practices, and expert opinions in the medical field. These guidelines are designed to assist healthcare professionals in making informed decisions regarding patient care, but they are not a substitute for personalized medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment.

Important Notes:

- The guidelines are for informational purposes only and are not intended to replace professional medical judgment. They should be used as a reference and adapted to the specific needs of individual patients.
- Application of these guidelines should be made by healthcare providers, taking into account the unique medical history, condition, and circumstances of each patient.
- While **Cutting Edge Surgical Medical Group** strives to provide the most accurate, up-to-date, and evidence-based information, we cannot guarantee that all content on the website is free from errors, omissions, or outdated information. Medical knowledge evolves rapidly, and guidelines may be updated periodically.
- **Cutting Edge Surgical Medical Group** does not assume responsibility for the outcomes of any medical decision or intervention based on the use of these guidelines. The use of this information is at the user's own discretion.
- Healthcare providers are encouraged to consult the latest peer-reviewed research, professional standards, and individual patient assessments before making clinical decisions.

For specific medical concerns, treatment advice, or patient management, please consult directly with a qualified healthcare provider.